


STP/PT-003

HYDROGEN STANDARDIZATION INTERIM REPORT

For
Tanks, Piping, and Pipelines



Date of Issuance: June 6, 2005

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) and the American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME).

Neither ASME, ASME Standards Technology, LLC (ASME ST-LLC), JBDIMMICK LLC, Air Products and Chemicals Inc., nor others involved in the preparation or review of this report, nor any of their respective employees, members, or persons acting on their behalf, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe upon privately owned rights.

Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the ASME, ASME ST-LLC or others involved in the preparation or review of this report, or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of the authors, contributors, reviewers of the report expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of ASME, ASME ST-LLC, or others involved in the preparation or review of this report, or any agency thereof.

ASME ST-LLC does not take any position with respect to the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any items mentioned in this document, and does not undertake to insure anyone utilizing a publication against liability for infringement of any applicable Letters Patent, nor assumes any such liability. Users of a publication are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, is entirely their own responsibility.

Participation by federal agency representative(s) or person(s) affiliated with industry is not to be interpreted as government or industry endorsement of this publication.

ASME is the registered trademark of The American Society of Mechanical Engineers.

No part of this document may be reproduced in any form,
in an electronic retrieval system or otherwise,
without the prior written permission of the publisher.

ASME Standards Technology, LLC
Three Park Avenue, New York, NY 10016-5990

ISBN No. 0-7918-2992-8

Copyright © 2005 by
ASME Standards Technology, LLC
All Rights Reserved

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FOREWORD.....	x
ABSTRACT	xii
PART I - Review of Existing Reference Standards to Support New Code Rules for High-Pressure Hydrogen Vessels	1
1 INTRODUCTION	2
1.1 General Background of Code Work for 15,000 psi Hydrogen Vessels.....	2
1.2 Reference Standards	3
1.3 Steel Cylinder Designs	3
1.4 Composite Cylinder Designs.....	4
1.5 Stationary Storage Vessels	4
1.6 Performance Based vs. Prescriptive Standards	5
1.7 Reference Performance Based Standards.....	5
1.8 Potential for a New Performance Code	6
1.9 Performance Standards Dependent on Design Calculations	6
1.10 Potential Design Code for Hoop-Wrapped Vessels.....	6
1.11 Potential for a Full-Composite Cylinder Design Code	7
2 COMPARISON OF OPERATING MARGINS FOR EXISTING STANDARDS	9
2.1 Operating Margin Definition.....	9
2.2 Maximum Normal Operating Pressure (MNOP)	9
2.3 ASME Design Pressure and MNOP	9
2.4 MNOP for Non-Code Reference Standards	10
2.5 MNOP by Vessel Usage.....	10
2.5.1 ASME Storage Vessel MNOP	10
2.5.2 DOT Compressed Gas Cylinder MNOP	10
2.5.3 MNOP for ISO Gas Cylinders.....	11
2.5.4 MNOP for Vehicle Fuel Containers	11
2.6 Normal Operating Pressure (NOP).....	12
2.7 Maximum Pressure During Upsets or Fire Exposure.....	12
2.8 Burst Pressure.....	13
2.8.1 Burst Pressure of Composite Cylinders and Vessels.....	13
2.8.2 Burst Pressure of Metal Cylinders and Vessels.....	14
2.8.3 Burst Pressure for DOT Metal Gas Cylinders.....	16
2.9 Burst Pressure of ISO Metal Gas Cylinders	17
2.10 Summary of Margin Definitions.....	17
2.11 Composite Stress Ratio Margins for Composites	17
2.11.1 DOT-3AA Specification Margin.....	17
2.11.2 DOT-3AA Margins Further Reduced.....	18
2.12 Findings from Comparison of Margins between Different Standards.....	18
2.13 Conclusions from Comparison of Margins.....	20
2.13.1 DOT FRP-1 Anomaly	20
2.13.2 Selection of Calculated over Design Margins for Metal Designs	20

2.13.3	Primary Factors Affecting Margins	21
2.14	Summary of Comparative Margins	23
2.14.1	ASME Code Vessels.....	23
2.14.2	Gas Cylinders for Transportation.....	23
2.14.3	Gas Cylinders for Vehicle Fuel Tanks.....	23
3	MANUFACTURING AND IN-SERVICE INSPECTION AND TEST PRACTICES	
	IMPACTING MARGINS	24
3.1	Review of Existing Inspection	24
3.2	Review of Existing Inspection Techniques for Metal Cylinders	24
3.3	Review of Existing Inspection Techniques for Composite Cylinders	27
3.4	Applicability and Limitations of Various NDE Techniques to Specific Vessels	28
3.5	Metal Monobloc or Layered Vessels of Steel or Nonmagnetic Alloys	29
3.6	Composite Hoop-Wrapped Vessels with Seamless or Welded Liners of Steel or Nonmagnetic Alloys	30
3.7	Composite Full-Wrapped Vessels with Seamless or Welded Liners of Steel or Nonmagnetic Alloys	31
3.8	Composite Full-Wrapped Vessels with Seamless or Welded Nonmetallic Liners and Metal Bosses of Steel or Nonmagnetic Alloys	31
3.9	Overall Recommendations.....	32
3.10	Recommendations for Inspection of All-Metal Cylinders at Manufacture	33
3.11	Recommendations for In-service Inspection of All-Metal Cylinders	33
3.12	Recommendations for Inspection of Composite Cylinders at Manufacture.....	34
3.13	Recommendations for In-service Inspection of Composite Cylinders.....	34
4	RECOMMENDED MARGINS FOR NEW CODE RULES	36
4.1	Factors Not Addressed by Margin to Burst	36
4.1.1	Pressure Control.....	36
4.1.2	Material Degradation	37
4.1.3	Cyclic Fatigue	37
4.1.4	Fire Exposure.....	37
4.1.5	Impact Damage to Composites	37
4.2	Minimum Recommended Gas Cylinder Margins for Materials Not Susceptible to Creep, Stress Rupture, or External Impact Induced Fracture (Metals).....	38
4.3	Minimum Gas Cylinder Margins for Materials Susceptible to Creep, Stress Rupture, or Impact Induced Fracture (Composite Reinforced Cylinders)	38
4.3.1	Design of Composite Cylinders	38
4.3.2	Recommended Margins for Types 3 and 4 Full-Wrapped Metal-Lined Designs Using Glass or Aramid Composite	41
4.3.3	Recommended Margins for Type 2 Hoop-Wrapped Designs.....	42
4.3.4	Recommended Margins for Type 3 and 4 Carbon Composite Vessels	44
4.3.5	Burst Design Margins for Carbon Composite Designs.....	44
5	REQUIREMENT FOR SEPARATE DESIGN MARGINS FOR FATIGUE.....	50
5.1	ASME Code Fatigue Rules.....	50
5.2	DOT Composite Fatigue Margins.....	50
5.3	DOT-3AA Metal Fatigue Margins.....	51

5.4	NGV2 Fatigue Design Rules	52
5.5	ISO Fuel Cylinder Fatigue Design Rules	53
5.6	ISO Metal Gas Cylinder Design Rules.....	53
5.7	ISO Composite Gas Cylinder Fatigue Design Rules	54
5.8	ASME Code Case 2390-1 Fatigue Design Rules	54
6	EVALUATION OF MARGINS FOR 15,000 PSI METAL AND COMPOSITE VESSELS.....	56
6.1	Use of Reference Standards	56
6.2	Design Pressure Requirements	56
6.3	Design for 15,000 psi Metal Vessels.....	56
6.3.1	ASME Minimum Burst Margin	56
6.3.2	Critical Difference in High Pressure Design	57
6.3.3	Effect of Design Pressure on Recommended Minimum Margin	57
6.3.4	Extrapolation of Reference Standards to 15,000 psi Operating Pressure	57
6.3.5	Wall Thickness of Ductile Metal Vessels for 15,000 psi Operating Pressure	58
6.3.6	Wall Thickness Concerns for Vessels Operating at 15,000 psi.....	60
6.3.7	Critical Conditions for Safe Application of Low Margins at 15,000 psi	61
6.4	Design for 15,000 psi Composite Reinforced Vessels	63
6.4.1	Potential Advantages of Composite Vessels for 15,000 psi	63
6.4.2	Potential Disadvantages of Composites for 15,000 psi	64
7	REVIEW OF SCOPE, LIMITATIONS AND MODIFICATION OF EXISTING STANDARDS FOR LARGE AND SMALL 15,000-PSI VESSELS	66
7.1	Intended Scope of Modified Standards	66
7.2	NOP or Service Pressure of New Hydrogen Transport Cylinders	66
7.3	Scope, Limitation, and Modifications for Ductile Metal 15,000-psi Vessels	67
7.3.1	Inspection and Test Requirements	67
7.3.2	ASME Section VIII Division 3	67
7.3.3	DOT-3AA/3AAX and ISO 9809/11120 Metal Gas Cylinder Standards	68
7.4	Scope, Limitation, and Modifications for Composite Vessels	70
7.4.1	Designs for Code Composite Reinforced Vessels.....	70
7.4.2	Composite Material Characteristics and the Applicability of Metal Design Controls and Experience	71
7.4.3	Composite Design	72
7.4.4	Composite Durability	72
7.4.5	Developed Strength of Composites	73
7.4.6	Performance Tests Relative to Composite Stress Ratios.....	73
7.4.7	Translation.....	73
7.4.8	Stress Rupture of Carbon Composites.....	74
7.4.9	Design Qualification by Similarity.....	74
7.4.10	Resistance to Fracture of Carbon Composite Vessels	75
7.4.11	Inspection Capability for Carbon Composite Cylinders	76
8	REVIEW OF EXISTING COMPOSITE CYLINDER STANDARDS FOR APPLICABILITY TO HYDROGEN STORAGE AT 15,000 PSI	77
8.1	Scope of Review.....	77
8.2	Requirements of Existing Composite Cylinder Standards and the Applicability to 15,000- psi Hydrogen Storage Vessels or Cylinders	77
8.2.1	General Requirements of Existing Composite Cylinders.....	77

8.2.2	Specific Present Composite Cylinder Standards.....	78
8.3	Review of Existing Standards for Composite Cylinders for Specific Applicability to 15,000 psi Hydrogen Storage Vessels.....	85
8.3.1	Scope of New Vessels.....	85
8.3.2	Scope of Present Composite Standards.....	85
8.3.3	Specific Present Composite Cylinder Standards.....	86
8.4	Review of Existing Standards for Composite Cylinders for Applicability to 15,000 psi Portable Hydrogen Cylinders.....	88
8.4.1	Scope of New Cylinders	88
8.4.2	Scope of Present Standards.....	88
8.4.3	Scope Issues with DOT FRP-1 and FRP-2 Cylinders.....	88
8.4.4	DOT CFFC	88
8.4.5	ISO Composite Gas Cylinder Standards.....	89
8.4.6	NGV2.....	89
8.4.7	ISO 11439 CNG Fuel Cylinders.....	89
8.4.8	ISO DIS 15869 Draft Standard for Hydrogen Vehicle Fuel Cylinders	89
8.4.9	ASME Code Case 2390	90
9	NECESSARY VESSEL INSTALLATION CODES	91
	References - PART I.....	93
	PART II - A Study of Existing Data, Standards, and Materials Related to Hydrogen Service (Storage and Transport Vessels).....	97
1	INTRODUCTION.....	98
1.1	Background.....	98
1.2	Scope of Report	98
1.3	Service Conditions.....	98
1.4	Executive Summary	98
2	ISSUES RELATED TO USING EXISTING STANDARDS FOR HIGH-PRESSURE VESSELS	100
2.1	Metallic Vessels.....	100
2.1.1	Design Issues	101
2.1.2	Manufacturing Issues.....	106
2.1.3	Testing Issues.....	107
2.2	Composite Vessels.....	112
2.2.1	Design Issues	112
2.2.2	Manufacturing Issues.....	112
2.2.3	Testing Issues.....	112
3	SUCCESSFUL SERVICE DATA OF EXISTING VESSELS	120
3.1	Storage Vessels	120
3.2	Transport Tanks	120
3.3	Portable Cylinders.....	120
3.4	Vehicle Fuel Tanks	120
4	EFFECT OF HIGH-PRESSURE HYDROGEN ON EXISTING COMMONLY USED MATERIALS	121
4.1	Existing Commonly Used Vessel Materials	121

4.2 High-Pressure Hydrogen Exposure Degradation	121
4.2.1 Types of Hydrogen Embrittlement	121
4.2.2 Metallurgical and Process Factors Affecting Hydrogen Embrittlement	122
4.3 Hydrogen Embrittlement Literature Review	122
4.4 Recommended Metallic Materials For High-Pressure Hydrogen Service	131
4.4.1 Basis of Recommendations for Aluminum, Copper, Titanium, Nickel, and Stainless Steel Alloys	131
4.4.2 Basis of Recommendations for Carbon and Alloy Steels.....	131
5 SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	134
References - PART II	136
Appendix A - Metallic Vessel Service Data.....	138
Appendix B - Composite Vessel Service Data.....	142
PART III - A Study of Existing Data, Standards and Materials Related to Hydrogen Service for Piping Systems and Transport Pipelines	147
1 INTRODUCTION	148
1.1 Background	148
1.2 Scope of Report.....	148
1.3 Service Conditions	148
1.4 Executive Summary	148
2 EXISTING DESIGN PHILOSOPHY/EXPERIENCE	150
2.1 Piping Design Philosophy	150
2.1.1 ASME B31.1	150
2.1.2 ASME B31.3	151
2.2 Pipeline Design Philosophy.....	154
2.2.1 ASME B31.8	154
2.2.2 DOT Standard CFR Title 49 Part 192.....	154
2.2.3 Summary of Piping And Pipeline Standards	155
2.3 Piping Experience and Data	156
2.3.1 Design Criteria	156
2.3.2 Service Data	156
2.3.3 In-Service Inspection and Safety.....	156
2.4 Pipeline Experience and Data.....	157
2.4.1 Design Criteria	157
2.4.2 Service Data	157
2.4.3 In-Service Inspection and Safety.....	157
3 EFFECT OF HYDROGEN ON COMMON MATERIALS.....	158
3.1 High-Pressure Hydrogen Exposure Degradation	158
3.1.1 Types of Hydrogen Embrittlement.....	158
3.1.2 Metallurgical and Process Factors Affecting Hydrogen Embrittlement	159
3.2 Hydrogen Embrittlement Literature Review	159
3.3 Recommended Metallic Materials For High-Pressure Hydrogen Service	168
3.3.1 Basis of Recommendations for Aluminum, Copper, Titanium, Nickel and Stainless Steel Alloys	168
3.3.2 Basis of Recommendations for Carbon and Alloy Steels.....	168

4	FACTORS UNIQUE TO HIGH-PRESSURE HYDROGEN SERVICE	171
4.1	Surface Condition/Finish	171
4.2	Bending of Piping And Tubing	172
4.2.1	Cold Bending	172
4.2.2	Hot Bending	173
4.3	Piping Joints	174
4.3.1	Welded Joints	174
4.3.2	Mechanical Joints	176
4.3.3	Dissimilar Metals	178
5	DESIGN AND MATERIAL SELECTION RECOMMENDATIONS FOR HYDROGEN SERVICE	179
5.1	Piping Recommendations	179
5.1.1	Material	179
5.1.2	Design Margin	180
5.1.3	Fatigue Life	180
5.1.4	Leak Before Burst (LBB)	180
5.1.5	Welding and Welded Pipes	181
5.1.6	Pipe Fittings/Connections	181
5.1.7	Autofrettage	181
5.2	Pipeline Recommendations	181
5.2.1	Pressure Limit	181
5.2.2	Design Margin	181
5.2.3	General Design Rules	182
5.2.4	Material	182
	References - PART III	183
	Appendix A - Design Margins and Pressure Ratios	185
	Appendix B - Mechanical Joint Information	189
	Appendix C - Piping System Data	193
	Appendix D - Pipeline Data	196
	ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	203
	ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS	204

List of Tables

Table 1 - Margin Comparison for Various Gas Cylinder and Vessel Standards	19
Table 2 - Requalification of Cylinders According to 48 CFR 180.209	25
Table 3 - Inspection Standards for All-Metal Cylinders Used in Hydrogen Service	27
Table 4 - UT Inspection Requirements at Manufacture for Metal Cylinders	27
Table 5 - Summary of Advantages and Limitations of Inspection Techniques for All-Metal Cylinders	29
Table 6 - Summary of Advantages and Limitations of Inspection Techniques for Hoop-Wrapped Cylinders	31

Table 7 - Summary of Advantages and Limitations of Inspection Techniques for All-Composite Cylinders	32
Table 8 - Comparison of Fully Metallic Standards	109
Table 9 - Comparison of Composite Standards.....	116
Table 10 - Results of Tests in 10,000 psi Helium and in 10,000 psi Hydrogen	126
Table 11 - Values of K_H and Critical Flaw Depth	129
Table 12 - Material Recommendations for High-Pressure Hydrogen Gas.....	132
Table 13 - Full Metallic Vessel Hydrogen Service Data.....	139
Table 14 - Composite Vessel Hydrogen Service Data	143
Table 15 - Summary Comparison of Piping and Pipeline Standards	155
Table 16 - Results of Tests in 10,000 psi Helium and in 10,000 psi Hydrogen	164
Table 17 - Values of K_H and Critical Flaw Depth	167
Table 18 - Material Recommendations for High-Pressure Hydrogen Gas.....	169
Table 19 - Comparison of Design Margins of Various Standards for Common Piping Materials.....	186
Table 20 - Comparison Of Various Pressure Ratios For Piping/Pipeline Standards.....	188
Table 21 - Pipe/Tube Mechanical Joints In H ₂ Service	190
Table 22 - Service Data Of Hydrogen Piping Systems	194
Table 23 - Service Data of Hydrogen Pipelines	197

List of Figures

Figure 1 - Comparison of Results from Different Burst Pressure Formulas at Different Design Pressures	15
Figure 2 - Design Margins vs. Calculated Margins for Different Metal Vessels	21
Figure 3 - Chart Of CNG Cylinder Failures in the United States Since 1970	28
Figure 4 - Margin, Burst to MNOP for Various Standards	57
Figure 5 - Minimum Design Sidewall Thickness for Various Standards	60
Figure 6 - Appendix 22 Storage Vessels (7,000 psig MAWP-10 in. OD)	140
Figure 7 - Division 1 Storage Vessels (2,000-2,500 psig MAWP - 11.75 in. OD)	140
Figure 8 - Code Case 1205 and Appendix 22 Storage Vessels (2450 psig MAWP - 24 in. OD)	141
Figure 9 - 3AAX Trailer Tubes (2400 Service Pressure, plus Rated, 110% Overfill - 22 in. OD)....	141
Figure 10 - Influence of Surface Preparations on the Rupture Pressure of 35NCD16HS Steel	171
Figure 11 - Installation Date of Hydrogen Pipelines	201
Figure 12 - Hydrogen Pipeline Operating Pressures	201
Figure 13 - Hydrogen Pipeline Materials	202
Figure 14 - Hydrogen Pipeline Diameters.....	202

ABSTRACT

This interim report is intended to address priority topical areas within pressure technology applications for hydrogen infrastructure development. The scope of this interim report includes addressing standardization issues related storage tanks, transportation tanks, portable tanks, and piping and pipelines. It is anticipated that the contents and recommendation of this report may be revised as further research and development becomes available.

The scope for the tank portions of this report (Parts I and II) includes review of existing standards, comparison with ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code (BPVC) Section VIII, and recommendations for appropriate design requirements applicable to small and large vessels for high strength applications up to 15,000 psi. This report also includes identification of design, manufacturing, and testing issues related to use of existing pressure vessel standards for high strength applications up to 15,000 psi, identification of commonly used materials, and developing data for successful service experience of vessels in H₂ service.

Similarly, the scope of piping and pipelines portion of this report (Part III) includes reviewing existing codes and standards, recommending appropriate design margins and rules for pressure design up to 15,000 psi, reviewing the effects of H₂ on commonly used materials, developing data for successful service experience, researching leak tightness performance, investigating effects of surface condition of piping components, and investigating piping/tubing bending issues.

Part I - H₂ Tanks: Review of Existing Reference Standards

The study provides a detailed overview of various compressed gas cylinder standards in comparison to ASME Section VIII rules with particular emphasis on the differing design burst margins and the modifications required to make the rules applicable to high-strength metal or composite vessels for both stationary and transport uses at pressures up to 15,000 psi.

The margins between burst and maximum operating pressure for common transport compressed gas cylinders and vehicle fuel containers were found to be very similar to one another and also very similar to the basic design margin of ASME Section VIII Division 3 vessels. The minimum margin found was for the U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT) DOT-3AA specification, and this margin is recommended as the minimum for future design rules. The various metal cylinder design formulas were found to deviate significantly from the burst prediction formula as pressures were increased to 15,000 psi and the ASME Section VIII Division 3 collapse formula is recommended for future rules at these high pressures. Low design margins for metal vessels were found to be dependent on associated periodic requalification and specific recommendations are included for all designs except the higher margin rules of ASME Section VIII Division 1 and ASME Section VIII Division 2. The standards do not presently provide adequate coverage of fatigue and fracture issues for 15,000 psi metal vessels in a hydrogen environment and the concerns are discussed in comparison to lower pressure experience. It should be noted that standards developed by different standards developing organizations utilize different consensus processes, may have different approaches, and are typically intended for different applications; therefore design margins and pressure definitions vary accordingly.

It was found that evaluation of composite gas cylinder margins must address time at various stress levels for time-dependent mechanisms such as stress rupture to control. The allowable stress for glass composites was determined to be very similar for all standards and the glass stress requirements of the DOT Fiber Reinforced Plastic (FRP) specifications are recommended as the initial basis for future rules. It should be noted that FRP-1, FRP-2, and CFRC are limited in scope, sizes, designs, and materials and these limitations, along with the operating experience of other standards, such as natural gas vehicle-2 (NGV-2), should also be considered for future rules. Generally, composite cylinders

were not found to be designed using consensus-based rules. A preliminary proposal was outlined whereby simplified design may be developed and verified for general application. The allowable stress and resulting burst margins for carbon composites were found to vary significantly among the standards, presenting no single value. The discussion includes the significant differences between the service conditions of stationary and transport vessels and this should facilitate study of the necessary allowable design stress for future carbon composite design rules. The various composite cylinder standards vary significantly with regard to required resistance to external damage from chemicals and impact, and significant gaps are identified. Specific recommendations are included for the development of nondestructive examination (NDE) techniques for composite requalification, and recommendations for a performance based approach for validation of new techniques for use on different designs are provided.

Part II - H₂ Tanks: Study of Existing Data, Standards, and Materials

This study evaluates the potential use of four metallic vessel standards [ASME VIII-1 Appendix 22, 49 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 178, American National Standards Institute (ANSI)/CSA NGV2-1], and International Organization for Standardization (ISO)/Draft International Standard (DIS) 15869-2, and six composite vessel standards (DOT FRP-1 and FRP-2, ANSI/CSA NGV2, ASME VIII-3 Code Case 2390, ISO 11119, and ISO/DIS 15869) for 15,000 psi hydrogen service.

The study identifies problems with using existing standards (1) for pressures well above current common practice and (2) for hydrogen with its material compatibility issues, flammability, and small molecular size. Design, manufacturing, and testing gaps are identified in existing standards, and recommendations are made for future standards dedicated to this challenging service.

Commonly used materials are rated for their resistance to hydrogen embrittlement and crack growth. Where test data are lacking, recommendations are made for future data collection. In-service inspections (ISIs) based on fracture mechanics, analyses are recommended, but cycle-to-failure tests (using hydrogen) and design life limits may be required until data are available.

Tables and figures are used to display successful service data for storage, transport, portable, and fuel tank service. All metal vessels have service histories of 60+ years, with composites gaining acceptance in the last 5 to 10 years (mostly in vehicle fuel tank applications). The successful service data support the reduction of design margins for some metallic vessels, and also support the “performance standard” concept for composite vessels.

Part III - H₂ Piping and Pipelines: Study of Existing Data, Standards, and Materials

This study evaluates the potential use of four piping and pipeline codes (ASME B31.1, 31.3, 31.8, and 49 CFR 192) for up to 15,000 psi hydrogen service.

The study compares the codes and determines the existing design margins. Tables and figures are provided to display the design margins, and also to display successful service data for piping systems and pipelines built in accordance with the codes. Some service data dates back to the 1940s.

Commonly used materials are rated for their resistance to hydrogen embrittlement and crack growth. A table is provided that lists recommended materials for high-pressure hydrogen service. For pipelines, reference to European Industrial Gases Association/Compressed Gas Association (EIGA/CGA) 121/04/E is recommended. For small piping systems, 316L stainless steel (SS) is recommended.

Several special topics related to hydrogen service are covered: performance of welded and mechanical joints, post-weld and post-formed heat treatment, effects of surface finish, and hot and cold pipe/tube bending.

Recommendations are provided for design margins for systems constructed of materials that are resistant to hydrogen embrittlement. Where less optimum materials are selected, the same design margins can be used with adequate initial and in-service inspections.

Recommendations are made for future standards dedicated to high-pressure hydrogen service. The design rule recommendations account for the challenges of (1) pressures well above current common practice and (2) hydrogen with its material compatibility issues, flammability, and small molecular size.